```
H331galc
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              16 Cr. 371 (RA)
                 V.
      GARY HIRST, JOHN GALANIS, HUGH
5
      DUNKERLEY, MICHELLE MORTON,
      DEVON ARCHER, BEVAN COONEY,
6
 7
                     Defendants.
                                       Conference
 8
9
                                               New York, N.Y.
                                               March 3, 2017
10
                                               12:15 p.m.
11
      Before:
12
                            HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,
13
                                               District Judge
14
15
                                APPEARANCES
     PREET BHARARA
16
          United States Attorney for the
17
           Southern District of New York
      BY: BRIAN R. BLAIS, ESQ.
           Assistant United States Attorney
18
      SHER TREMONTE LLP
19
           Attorneys for Defendant Gary Hirst
20
     BY: MICHAEL TREMONTE, ESQ.
21
     PELUSO & TOUGER
           Attorneys for Defendant John Galanis
22
     BY: DAVID TOUGER, ESQ.
23
     JOSEPH A. GROB, P.C.
           Attorneys for Defendant Hugh Dunkerley
24
     BY: JOSEPH A. GROB, ESQ.
25
```

H331galc 1 APPEARANCES (Continued) 2 MORVILLO LLP 3 Attorneys for Defendant Michelle Morton BY: GREGORY R. MORVILLO, ESQ. 4 EUGENE E. INGOGLIA, ESQ. 5 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Attorneys for Defendant Devon Archer 6 MATTHEW L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. BY: DANIEL R. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 7 PAULA J. NOTARI, ESQ. 8 Attorney for Defendant Bevan Cooney 9 O'NEILL AND HASSEN Attorneys for Defendant Bevan Cooney 10 BY: ABRAHAM J. ABEGAZ-HASSEN, ESQ. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

H331galc 1 (Case called) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for 3 the record. 4 MR. BLAIS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Brian Blais 5 for the government. 6 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 7 MS. NOTARI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Paula Notari 8 on behalf of Bevan Cooney, whose presence is waived. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MR. ABEGAZ-HASSEN: Abraham Hassen, also for Bevan 11 Cooney. 12 THE COURT: Good morning. 13 MR. MORVILLO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Gregory 14 Morvillo and Eugene Ingoglia on behalf of Michelle Morton, who 15 is present. 16 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you. 17 MR. TOUGER: David Touger, T-O-U-G-E-R, for John 18 Galanis. I apologize to the Court for being late and to 19 everybody here for making you wait. 20 MR. MATTHEW SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon. Matthew 21 Schwartz and Daniel Schwartz, for Devon Archer, who is in court

this afternoon.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

22

23

24

25

MR. TREMONTE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael Tremonte for Gary Hirst, whose presence is waived.

H331galc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GROB: Good afternoon, your Honor. Joseph Grob for Hugh Dunkerley, whose appearance is also waived.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

Why don't I hear from the government as to the status. Is there any additional discovery at this point that you anticipate producing?

MR. BLAIS: At this point, no, your Honor. We've made a few additional small productions in the last several weeks. There's nothing substantial outstanding. It's possible that we may get additional subpoena productions from third parties, and we'll obviously produce those as we receive things, but nothing substantial that I recall being outstanding.

THE COURT: As I indicated at the last conference, I'd like to set a trial date today. I'll hear from defense counsel as to how long you think you need, and then we can coordinate schedules.

MR. MORVILLO: Good afternoon. Gregory Morvillo. may be the last people into the case. We've been in for about three weeks, and we are starting to go through the discovery. And I think the technical term for the discovery is, there is a boatload of it, and so we are making our way through it. And we have conferred with others. We were thinking of a February trial date, in 2018.

THE COURT: Does the government have a position on the trial date?

MR. BLAIS: I mean, in our view this case has been pending since May of last year. It was charged by complaint in May. It was indicted I think at the very end of May. February seems pretty far out at this point. I think we would prefer a date sooner than February, if that's possible.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just ask you, first of all, how long do you think the trial will last? I know it's dependent in part on how many individuals go to trial, but right now, what's your best guess?

MR. BLAIS: My best guess would be three weeks.

THE COURT: Is there any way you think you all can do it in the late fall, is that a possibility, or winter, prior to February?

MR. TOUGER: Your Honor, I have a trial in September that's going to last four to six weeks, so it would be hard to prepare this case and that case, and that's a pretty high-volume case also. So a February date would be fine with me.

THE COURT: Mr. Morvillo, any additional thoughts, given that we are just at the beginning of March?

MR. MORVILLO: Well, the problem is the amount of the discovery and a motion schedule. We had considered a motion, making motions at the end of September, and even backing into a trial at that point, with opposition, reply, and argument, I think the best we could do would be early December, but if

we're in early December, with a three-week trial, we're never going to get a jury before Christmas. Everyone will be terrified that they'll miss Christmas. And so realistically, the best we could do is mid January. At that point, from my perspective, mid January, early February is not much of a difference, so I think we would prefer February, but, you know, if your Honor pushed us, we would move.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we do this. Why don't we schedule it for February 5<sup>th</sup>. Is everyone available then? We will schedule it for February 5<sup>th</sup>. It will be a firm trial date. And I'll reserve the month. Okay?

MR. MORVILLO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So now why don't we talk about a motion schedule. You had proposed September, is that right?

MR. MORVILLO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Do you have a particular date in mind?

Can you do it early-mid September?

Yes, Ms. Notari?

MS. NOTARI: Could we possibly do early October?

Mr. Hassen will be on his honeymoon in September, and

realistically, September is always the month where most of the

lawyers — it's the only time where you can ever really go on

vacation where the courthouse kind of slows down, and we come

back in September and it's a madhouse, and so if we could do

early October.

THE COURT: So why don't we have motions due

October 2<sup>nd</sup>. And how long does the government need to

respond?

MR. BLAIS: Three weeks, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine. So why don't we say October  $23^{\rm rd}$  for an opposition. And we'll say October  $30^{\rm th}$  for any reply.

Do any of you anticipate making a motion for which a hearing will be necessary, or is it too early to tell?

Mr. Schwartz?

MR. MATTHEW SCHWARTZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So why don't we schedule a hearing date in November.

Does November 20<sup>th</sup> work? Yes? Okay. So why don't we schedule November 20<sup>th</sup> for a hearing date at 10 a.m.

Is the government seeking to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act?

MR. BLAIS: Yes. We would move to exclude time through the scheduled date of the trial, February 5, 2018.

THE COURT: All right. So is there any objection with respect to the speedy trial?

DEFENSE COUNSEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So I'll exclude time from today until February 5, 2018, pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A). I find that the ends of justice served

H331galc

by excluding such time outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial because it will allow time for defense counsel and defendants to prepare for trial, for the parties to discuss any possible disposition of this case, and for the defendants to prepare any motions.

What I also would like to put on the books is a final pretrial conference the week prior to the trial. So why don't we do that now.

Why don't we say January 31<sup>st</sup> at 2 p.m. And I'll set a schedule for pretrial submissions when we meet in November, but just in case it's helpful for your schedules, I anticipate that I'll ask that all of the pretrial submissions, including requests to charge, proposed voir dire, and any in limine motions, be submitted two weeks prior to the conference, so on January 17<sup>th</sup>, and any opposition on January 24<sup>th</sup>. All right? So why don't you in fact put that in your schedule as a date for pretrial submissions. If we need to change that closer to the date, we'll do that, but that's what I'd like to set.

Are there any additional issues anyone would like to raise today?

All right. Hearing nothing, we are adjourned. Thank you. Have a nice weekend, all.

ALL COUNSEL: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned)